March 17, 2017

To the Board of Directors
Colorado Springs Urban Renewal Authority
El Paso County, Colorado

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Colorado Springs Urban Renewal Authority (the Authority) for the year ended December 31, 2016. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our engagement letter to you dated October 25, 2016. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the Authority are described in Note 2 to the financial statements.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Authority implemented the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, "Fair Value Measurement and Application" (GASB No. 72).

GASB No. 72 enhances comparability of financial statements among governments by requiring measurement of certain assets and liabilities at fair value using a consistent and more detailed definition of fair value and accepted valuation techniques. The Statement also enhances fair value application guidance and related disclosures to provide information to financial statement users about the impact of fair value measurements on a government’s financial position. To address the requirements of GASB No. 72, The Authority included additional fair value disclosures to Note 3 – Cash and Investments. There was no financial impact due to the implementation of GASB No. 72.

No other new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during 2016. We noted no transactions entered into by the Authority during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.
Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. There were no misstatements identified during the audit.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor’s report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 17, 2017.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a “second opinion” on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor’s opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Other Matters

We applied certain limited procedures to the Management’s Discussion and Analysis, which required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the
information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the budget to actual schedules of the debt service and capital projects funds (the Supplementary Information), as listed in the table of contents, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. With respect to this Supplementary Information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the Supplementary Information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

We were not engaged to report on the schedules of the summary of assessed valuation, mill levy and property taxes collected (the Other Information), as listed in the table of contents, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. We did not audit or perform other procedures on this Other Information and we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

We would like to thank Ms. Carrie Bartow, Ms. Thuy Dam and Ms. Natasha Zeldin, Authority Accountants, for making the audit process efficient and enjoyable. They were very helpful and cooperative.

Restriction on Use

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors and management of Colorado Springs Urban Renewal Authority and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Dussio & Associates, P.C.